

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/670,214 | 09/25/2000 | Christine E. Browning | 9010-3 | 4277 | |
| | 7590 03/02/2007 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE | | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 37428 | | | NEGIN, RUSSELL SCOTT | | |
| RALEIGH, NO | . 21021 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1631 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ! | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Nation of Abandon | 09/670,214 | BROWNING ET | AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Russell S. Negin | 1631 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | orrespondence ad | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | • |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note period for reply (including a total extension of time of | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | . | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| l Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o CFR 1.114). | or (3) a timely filed t | Request for |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particles (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Certifical eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Tr nd publication fee) s | ransmission dated set in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | _ · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | se the period for se | eking court review |
| 7. 🛛 The reason(s) below: | | | |
| In a telephonic conversation with attorney Mitchell response to the Office action mailed on 19 July 200 | 06. | | ere was no |
| | John S. BRUSCA, PH.D | M2007 | -Pa |
| | JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER | RSN 1 March 2007 | /march 2027 |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdr | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to |